

IPPM WG Agenda

7 March 2005, Minneapolis

IPPM Working Group

- Chairs:
 - Henk Uijterwaal henk@ripe.net
 - Matt Zekauskas matt@internet2.edu
- Email:
 - ippm@ietf.org
 - ippm-request@ietf.org
 - <https://www1.ietf.org/mailman/listinfo/ippm>

We are being “audiocast”

- The microphones are ***ALWAYS*** live (including before and after meetings)
- Please use a microphone when stating comments, questions
- Please state your name
- ...but don't rely on a recorded stream; it is “best effort”.

Agenda

1. Administrativia

- Agenda Bashing, Scribe, Minutes, Blue Sheets
- Status of Drafts and Milestones

2. Al Morton: Reordering

- draft-ietf-ippm-reordering-09.txt

3. Nischal Piratla: Reordering

- draft-jayasumana-reorder-density-04

4. Henk Uijterwaal: Implementation reports

- draft-ietf-ippm-implement-00.txt

5. Al Morton

- ITU IP Performance work

Agenda (2)

6. Phil Chimento/Joe Ishac
 - Bandwidth draft
7. Kayman Hedayat: A Two-way Active Measurement Protocol (TWAMP)
 - draft-hedayat-two-way-active-measurement-protocol-00
8. Emile Stephan and Lei Liang:
 - Multiparty metrics
9. Juergen Quittek for Saverio Niccolini: Traceroutes.
 - draft-niccolini-ippm-storetraces-00.txt
10. AOB

Status of Drafts as of 3/3

- draft-ietf-ippm-metrics-registry
 - RFC editor queue
- draft-ietf-ippm-owdp
 - Publication requested, but see next slide
- draft-ietf-ippm-reordering
- draft-ietf-ippm-implement
 - Discuss today

Draft-ietf-ippm-owdp (“OWAMP”)

- Publication requested state
- Hallway comment from AD (6-Mar): Protocol does not match requirements
 - The protocol does not specify the behavior in unauthenticated mode where the requirements doc says that it will.
- Must be resolved in order to get this through the IESG
- Proposal for the chairs to review situation with ADs, draft any necessary text; then review by authors and WG

Milestones as of 3/3

- Submit draft on the One-Way Active Measurement Protocol: **Done**
- Submit draft on a packet reordering metric to the IESG for Proposed Standard: **In progress**
- Submit link bandwidth capacity definitions draft to the IESG, for consideration as an Informational RFC: **In progress**
- Collect implementation reports for RFCs 2678-2681: **Done**

New work items

- TWAMP
- Multiparty metrics
- Traceroutes

- Discuss re-chartering or ending working group.